United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/680,688 | 10/07/2003 | Jiang Hsieh | 136120 CT | 6230 | |
| 7590 02/22/2005 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| John S. Beulick Armstrong Teasdale LLP Suite 2600 One Metropolitan Square | | | KAO, CHIH CHENG G | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2882 | | |
| St. Louis, MO | 63102 | | DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005 | DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Audient Communication | 10/680,688 | HSIEH ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Chih-Cheng Glen Kao | 2882 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| ,— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 5-14 and 19-28 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 15-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | awn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examina 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 October 2003</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objected or b). | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Dramsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/7/03. | | ratent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/680,688

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: (paragraphs 40-42, #100, 102, 104, 106, 108, 112, 114, 116, and 118), (paragraphs 43-44, #200, 202, 204, 206, 208, 210, 212, 214, 216, and 218), and (paragraphs 45-46, #300, 302, 304, 306, 308, 310, 312, 314, 316, 318, 320, 322, and 324). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities, which appear to be minor draft errors.

In the following format (location of objection; suggestion for correction), the following corrections may obviate their respective objections: (abstract, line 1, "Some configurations of the present invention thus provide"; replacing "Some configurations of the present invention thus

provide" with - -The present invention provides- -), (paragraph 2, line 1, "Know scanning computed tomography"; replacing "Know" with - -Known- -) and (page 14, fourth to last line, "the scan is finished at 214"; replacing "214" with - -314- -).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozaki et al. (US Patent 5073911) in view of Hu (US Patent 5377250).
- 4. Regarding claims 1 and 15, Ozaki et al. discloses a method and apparatus for dynamically helically scanning (fig. 2, and col. 2, lines 28-29) an object (fig. 1, "P") on a moving table (fig. 1, #11) utilizing a scanning imaging system (col. 1, lines 9-13); acquiring and storing projection views (col. 1, lines 65-67, and col. 3, line 18) of the object and corresponding table locations (col. 3, line 22-25), selecting a plane for reconstruction (col. 6, line 26) of an image of the object, utilizing stored table locations to determine geometric variables applicable to the stored projection views (col. 4, line 22); and processing the stored projection views utilizing the geometrical variables to reconstruct an image of the object at the reconstruction plane (col. 4, lines 53-59).

However, Ozaki et al. does not disclose filtering and backprojecting.

Hu teaches filtering and backprojecting (cover page figure).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the method and apparatus of Ozaki et al. with the filtering and backprojecting of Hu, since one would be motivated to make such a modification to display a clearer image of the internals of a patient.

5. Regarding claims 3 and 17, Ozaki et al. further discloses a computed tomographic

imaging system (title).

6. Claims 2 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozaki et

al. in view of Hu as applied to claims 1 and 15 above, and further in view of Johnson et al. (US

Patent 5891030).

Ozaki et al. as modified above suggests a method and apparatus as recited above.

However, Ozaki et al. does not disclose a magnetic resonance imaging system.

Johnson et al. teaches the art-recognized equivalency of computed tomography and

magnetic resonance imaging systems (col. 5, lines 16-29).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made, to incorporate the method and apparatus of Ozaki et al. as modified above

with the magnetic resonance imaging system of Johnson et al., which is explained with

motivation as follows. Because these two imaging systems were art-recognized equivalents at

the time the invention was made, one or ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to

substitute one for another. One would be motivated to make such a modification to avoid the x-rays in a computed tomography system, which could lead to unwanted cellular damage.

7. Claims 4 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozaki et al. in view of Hu as applied to claims 1 and 15 above, and further in view of Heuscher (US Patent 5262946).

Ozaki et al. as modified above suggests a method and apparatus as recited above.

However, Ozaki et al. does not disclose utilizing feedback to adjust table speed during a dynamical helical scan.

Heuscher teaches utilizing feedback to adjust table speed during a dynamical helical scan (abstract, last 6 lines).

It would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the method and apparatus of Ozaki et al. as modified above with the table speed adjustment of Heuscher, since one would be motivated to make such a modification to minimize motion effects (col. 3, lines 67-68) as shown by Heuscher.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 5-14 and 19-28 contain allowable subject matter.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 5 and 19, prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest a method or imaging apparatus configured for dynamically helically scanning; acquiring and storing projection views of an object and, for only some of the acquired projection views, corresponding

Application/Control Number: 10/680,688

Art Unit: 2882

determined or estimated table locations; utilizing stored table locations to determined geometric

variables applicable to the stored projection views; and filtering and backprojecting the stored

projections views utilizing the geometrical variables to reconstruct an image of the object at a

reconstruction plane, in combination with all the limitations in each respective claim. Claims 6-

14 and 20-28 contain allowable subject matter by virtue of their dependency.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chih-Cheng Glen Kao whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2492. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (9 am to 5 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ed Glick can be reached on (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID V. BRUCE RIMARY EXAMINE Page 6

gĸ